# Exhibit: Draft Remedial Order, Permanent Injunction, and Order Appointing Court Monitor

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	,
Plaintiff,	
-and-	
THE VILLOW COOLETY DIO 4 12 14	

THE VULCAN SOCIETY, INC., for itself and on behalf of its members, JAMEL NICHOLSON, and RUSEBELL WILSON, individually and on behalf of a subclass of all other victims similarly situated seeking classwide injunctive relief;

ROGER GREGG, MARCUS HAYWOOD, and KEVIN WALKER, individually and on behalf of a subclass of all other non-hire victims similarly situated; and

CANDIDO NUÑEZ and KEVIN SIMPKINS, individually and on behalf of a subclass of all other delayed-hire victims similarly situated,

Plaintiff-Intervenors,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK,

Defendant.

-----NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS, United States District Judge

NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS, United States District Judge.

This Draft Remedial Order, Permanent Injunction, and Order Appointing Court Monitor ("Draft Remedial Order") includes, in sum and substance, the terms of the injunction the court currently intends to impose on the City of New York in this action, and the intended terms of the Court Monitor's appointment. The accompanying Memorandum and Order provides the parties with the opportunity to be heard regarding the terms of the court's intended order appointing a court monitor under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53(b)(1). The accompanying Memorandum

DRAFT REMEDIAL ORDER, PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND ORDER APPOINTING COURT MONITOR

07-CV-2067 (NGG) (RLM)

and Order further directs the parties to submit briefing regarding a Fairness Hearing for interested non-parties. The court will entertain objections to the Draft Remedial Order from interested non-parties at a Fairness Hearing, and after considering the objections, will issue a Final Remedial Order.

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

## I. **DEFINITIONS**

- 1. The following definitions apply to this Order.
- 2. "This Order" refers to these Definitions (Part I), all terms of the Permanent Injunction the court imposes on the City of New York (Part II), all terms of the Order Appointing Court Monitor (Part III), the terms of the court's Retention of Jurisdiction (Part IV), and all terms of the assessment of Costs and Fees (Part V).
- 3. "The Parties" are: the United States of America, by its counsel the United States
  Department of Justice; The Vulcan Society, Inc. and the Injunctive Relief Subclass (through its
  appointed individual representatives Jamel Nicholson, and Rusebell Wilson), by their counsel
  Levy Ratner, P.C., Scott + Scott LLP, and the Center for Constitutional Rights; and the City of
  New York, by its counsel the Corporation Counsel of the City of New York.
- 4. "City of New York" and "the City" refer to all commissions, agencies, offices, bureaus, departments, divisions, elected and appointed officials, managers, employees, volunteers, paid and unpaid interns, independent contractors, and other agents of the City of New York, any person or entity over which any of the foregoing exercise direct or indirect control because of their affiliation with the City of New York, and any person or entity acting in concert or participation with any of the foregoing, and includes, without limitation, the following agencies of the City of New York:

- (a) "FDNY" refers to the Fire Department of the City of New York;
- (b) "ORD" refers to the Office of Recruitment and Diversity of the FDNY;
- (c) "EEO Office" refers to the Equal Employment Opportunity Office of the FDNY;
- (d) "BITS" refers to the Bureau of Investigations and Trials of the FDNY;
- (e) "CID" refers to the Candidate Investigation Division of the FDNY;
- (f) "PRB" refers to the Personnel Review Board of the FDNY;
- (g) "DCAS" refers to the Department of Citywide Administrative Services of the City of New York;
- (h) "EEPC" refers to the Equal Employment Practices Commission of the City of New York; and
- (i) "Executive Office of the Mayor" refers to the Mayor of the City of New York, his deputy mayors, senior advisors, and the employees and agents of the City of New York employed or retained by the City of New York to assist the foregoing in the performance of their duties.
- 5. "Document" refers broadly to the full range of materials and information specified in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 without regard to the medium in which it is kept or stored.
- 6. "Court Monitor" and "Monitor" refer to the person appointed by the Court in Part III of this Order to oversee the City's compliance with the terms of this Order, and any persons retained or employed by the Monitor to carry out the Monitor's assigned duties.
- 7. "Days" refers to calendar days unless otherwise specified clearly in the context of a particular provision of this Order. If any deadline referenced in this Order should fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the deadline shall be moved to the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.

- 8. "Entry-level firefighter" refers to a person in the entry-level uniformed position in the FDNY regardless of whether the person may be called a "recruit," "trainee," "probie" or other title until the individual has completed academy training and/or a probationary period. It does not refer to a person selected for promotion to the position of Firefighter from the position of Emergency Medical Technician or Paramedic through the City of New York's open-competitive promotional examination.
- 9. "Firefighter candidate" refers to any person who applies to participate in any step of the process for the selection of entry-level firefighters administered by the City of New York to hire entry-level firefighters, until that person is formally appointed an entry-level firefighter.
- 10. "Process for the selection of entry-level firefighters," "entry-level firefighter selection process," "entry-level firefighter hiring process," and similar terms, refer to any and all steps taken by the City of New York to hire entry-level firefighters including, without limitation: developing or validating a written or computer based-examination to screen firefighter candidates; recruiting people to be firefighter candidates; issuing a Notice of Examination to open an application period for any person to apply to take any examination to be hired by the City of New York as an entry-level firefighter; administering a written or computer-based examination to firefighter candidates; assessing a firefighter candidate's physical fitness or ability; determining that a firefighter candidate has passed or failed any examination or assessment at any stage of his or her candidacy; determining to award or not award any credits (e.g., New York City residency credit) to a firefighter candidate; ranking or not ranking a firefighter candidate on any civil service hiring list; certifying a civil service list of firefighter candidates for hiring; determining to begin investigating any firefighter candidate's background or eligibility to be hired as an entry-level firefighter; the process of investigating a firefighter

candidate's background and eligibility to be hired as an entry-level firefighter; determining to refer a candidate to any person or body for additional consideration of his or her character or fitness to be a firefighter, and making any recommendations concerning the outcome of that consideration; determining that a firefighter candidate possesses or does not possess the character or fitness to be a firefighter; administering a medical and/or psychological examination to a firefighter candidate; determining to make an offer to hire a firefighter candidate to the position of entry-level firefighter; determining not to hire a firefighter candidate for any reason, including that the firefighter candidate is ineligible for hiring, failed to report to or cooperate with any step in the entry-level firefighter selection process, does not possess the character or fitness necessary to be a firefighter, is medically or psychologically unfit to be hired, or for any other reason.

- 11. "EEO" refers to "equal employment opportunity," i.e., the opportunity to obtain employment without discrimination because of because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability.
- 12. "EEO Laws" refers to laws which protect an employee's or employment candidate's rights to equal employment opportunities, including, without limitation, and as interpreted by federal and state courts: Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e et seq.; New York State Human Rights Law, New York Executive Law §§ 290 et seq.; and New York City Human Rights Law, Administrative Code §§ 8-101 et seq.

# II. PERMANENT INJUNCTION

#### A. GENERAL TERMS

- 13. The City of New York shall not use, in any way, Firefighter Exam 7029, Firefighter Exam 2043, or Firefighter Exam 6019 as part of any process for the selection of entry-level firefighters.<sup>1</sup>
- 14. The City of New York shall not use as part of any entry-level firefighter selection process, any examination that in any way results in a disparate impact upon black or Hispanic applicants and is not job related for the position of entry-level firefighter and consistent with business necessity, or does not otherwise meet the requirements of federal, state, and City EEO laws.
- 15. The City of New York shall not take any step in any process for the selection of entry-level firefighters, or use any examination as part of such process, without first obtaining the approval of the Court Monitor (the "Monitor") through the processes specified by the Monitor.
- 16. The City of New York shall not retaliate against or in any way adversely affect the terms or conditions of employment of any person because he or she has complained of discrimination against blacks or Hispanics on the basis of their race or national origin in the selection and hiring of entry-level firefighters, or has participated in the investigation or litigation of any claim or allegation of such discrimination, or has sought or obtained relief from the court in this case.

Paragraph 13 of this Order supersedes and replaces the court's order enjoining the City of New York from hiring firefighters using Exam 6019 except in compliance with the authorized hiring options identified by the court in its Exam 6019 Hiring Options Order of September 13, 2010. (See Exam 6019 Hiring Options Order (Docket Entry # 526); Exam 6019 Injunction (Docket Entry # 569) at 19-20.) As provided in Paragraph 13, this Order revokes the City of New York's permission to use Exam 6019 to hire entry-level firefighters using one of the five options specified in the court's earlier orders.

- 17. The City of New York shall not discriminate on the basis of race against black or Hispanic firefighter candidates in the development or implementation of any process for the selection of entry-level firefighters.
- 18. The City of New York shall, with reasonable diligence, take all steps necessary to eliminate the vestiges of its pattern and practice of discrimination against black firefighter candidates, to remove all barriers to the elimination of these vestiges of discrimination, and to end all policies and practices that have the effect of perpetuating the effects of the City's discrimination against black firefighter candidates.
- 19. The Parties shall timely comply with the orders of the Court Monitor as provided in this Order.
- 20. All submissions that this injunction requires the City to file with the court shall be signed by the Mayor of the City of New York and witnessed by the Corporation Counsel.

#### B. SPECIFIC REMEDIAL MEASURES

#### Firefighter Test Development and Administration

- 21. The Court Monitor shall adopt a schedule which requires the City of New York to notify the Monitor and the Parties before commencing any step in a process for the selection of entry-level firefighters.
- 22. The Court Monitor may require the City to disclose any information relating to any step in any process for the selection of entry-level firefighters before allowing the City to proceed to any step in the process. The Court Monitor may require the City to establish that it has satisfied conditions specified by the Monitor, or to obtain the Monitor's explicit approval before commencing any step in the process for the selection of entry-level firefighters.

23. At least 90 days prior to the establishment of any new eligible civil service list for the entry-level firefighter position, the City shall notify the Court Monitor, the United States, and the Injunctive Relief Subclass in writing that it intends to establish a new eligible list and shall provide to the Monitor, the United States, and the Injunctive Relief Subclass a detailed description of each step in the selection process from which the list was developed and of the manner in which the City intends to use the eligible list to make appointments. In addition, the City shall state in writing, separately by race and national origin, the number of applicants who were eligible to proceed to each step in the process leading up to the establishment of the eligible list, the number of applicants to whom each step was administered, and the number of applicants who passed the step or were eligible to continue in the selection process.

#### Firefighter Candidate Recruitment

24. The City of New York, specifically ORD and DCAS, shall, in consultation with the Court Monitor, or the Special Master if a Court Monitor has not yet been appointed, the United States, and the Injunctive Relief Subclass, design an optional survey which the City of New York shall administer to all individuals who take Exam 2000 at the time they take Exam 2000. The purposes of the survey shall be to determine the effectiveness of ORD's various recruitment activities and strategies, to determine the reasons why firefighter candidates chose to apply to become entry-level firefighters, to determine how many firefighter candidates have friends or family members in the FDNY, and to obtain any other useful information. Each survey form provided to the firefighter candidates shall be pre-marked with the firefighter candidate's unique applicant identification number. The survey forms shall prominently state that survey responses shall not be used in any way by the City of New York to make hiring decisions.

- 25. The City of New York shall retain an independent recruitment consultant, whose selection shall be subject to the approval of the Court Monitor, and who shall submit a final report that:
- (a) identifies and evaluates the effectiveness of ORD's various recruitment activities at recruiting black and Hispanic firefighter candidates against the costs of those activities;
- (b) identifies best practices for the recruitment of black and Hispanic employees generally and firefighter candidates in particular, both nationally and in New York City in particular;
- (c) recommends tactics ORD can use to improve the effectiveness and efficiency with which it recruits black and Hispanic firefighter candidates;
- (d) recommends changes to ORD's long-term black and Hispanic firefighter
   candidate recruitment strategy and intensive pre-exam recruitment strategy;
- (e) recommends measureable short-term and long-term goals for the recruitment of black and Hispanic entry-level firefighter candidates; and
- ORD to meet its goals, and recommends minimum and ideal budgets for ORD for those fiscal years in which no firefighter examination will be administered and separate minimum and ideal budgets for ORD for fiscal years in which the City of New York will administer a firefighter examination.
- 26. The independent recruitment consultant, the City of New York, and the Court Monitor shall cooperatively develop a plan for the consultant's research subject to the approval of the Court Monitor. At the discretion of the Court Monitor, the United States and the Injunctive Relief Subclass may meet with the Court Monitor, the City of New York, and the consultant to

recommend areas of inquiry for the consultant's research. The City shall fully cooperate with the consultant's research. The consultant shall regularly update the City and the Court Monitor on the scope and progress of the consultant's research and shall inform the Court Monitor if the consultant has any difficulties acquiring information needed to complete the consultant's assigned tasks. The Court Monitor may, in the Monitor's discretion, use the Monitor's authority to obtain access to individuals, documents, places, or things to provide information to the consultant.

- 27. The independent recruitment consultant's final report shall be filed with the court and submitted to the Parties and Court Monitor no later than March 31, 2013.
- 28. The City of New York shall consider the recommendations in the independent recruitment consultant's final report and file a response with the court 90 days after the consultant's final report is filed with the court. In its response the City shall specifically indicate which of the recommendations it will carry out and how it plans to do so, providing a specific timetable for those recommendations that cannot be carried out immediately. For those recommendations the City declines to carry out, the City shall explain why it declines to carry them out, and shall identify any alternative steps it intends to take to achieve the purposes of the recommendations.
- 29. The Court Monitor shall file a reply with the court 30 days after the City of New York files its response. The Monitor's reply shall explain whether the Monitor believes the City's response to the consultant's final report is sufficient, and shall recommend to the court any additional action the Monitor believes is necessary to accomplish the remedial purposes of this Order. The United States and the Injunctive Relief Subclass may also file replies with the court no later than 30 days after the City files its response.

# Attrition Mitigation Plan and Reassessment of Entry-Level Firefighter Selection

- 30. The City, in consultation with the Court Monitor and the Parties, shall draft and implement a written plan to mitigate and diminish rates of voluntary candidate attrition between different steps of the City's process for the selection of entry-level firefighters. The written attrition mitigation plan shall focus particularly on the steps needed to prevent "voluntary" (as that term is used currently by the FDNY) candidate attrition from disproportionately affecting the retention rates for black and Hispanic firefighter candidates during the firefighter hiring process for Exam 2000. The City shall submit its first draft written attrition mitigation plan to the Parties by December 2, 2011. The Parties and the Court Monitor shall subsequently discuss revisions to and implementation of the attrition mitigation plan as directed by the Court Monitor. The Court Monitor shall make a recommendation to the court as to whether the attrition mitigation plan, either as drafted by the City or including amendments the Court Monitor may propose, should be adopted by the City in the Exam 2000 firefighter selection process.
- 31. The City of New York, in consultation with the Parties and the Court Monitor, shall conduct a comprehensive top-to-bottom assessment of all steps in its process for the selection of entry-level firefighters that evaluates the strengths and weaknesses of the City's current selection process as a whole and of individual steps in that process. As part of its review, the City shall also research and develop at least three alternative selection processes that solve the problems the City identifies in its current selection process. The City shall evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the alternative selection processes and identify barriers to their implementation, including the need for legislative, administrative, or other governmental action.
- 32. The scope of the City's review of its current entry-level firefighter selection process, and its plan to research alternative selection processes shall be subject to the approval of the Court

Monitor. The City shall keep the Court Monitor and the Parties informed as to the progress of its research in regular meetings and, if the Court Monitor deems it necessary, provide written reports to the Parties and the Court Monitor.

- 33. The final report shall recommend that the Mayor of the City of New York take specific actions on the adoption of alternative selection processes or the modification of the City's current selection process. By December 2, 2012, the Mayor of the City of New York shall file with the court the City's final report. The Mayor shall specifically indicate which of the recommendations he or she will carry out immediately, provide a specific timetable for those recommendations that cannot be carried out immediately, and explain the steps for required in implementing each recommendation. Before the Mayor may file the City's final report, the Court Monitor must certify that the City carried out its assessment of its current entry-level firefighter selection process and researched and evaluated alternative selection processes in good faith and with reasonable diligence.
- 34. The Court Monitor or any Party may file a reply to the Mayor's response with the court 30 days after the Mayor files his or her response. Any reply filed with the court shall explain whether the Monitor or Party believes the Mayor's response to the final report is sufficient, and shall recommend to the court any additional action the Monitor or Party believes is necessary to accomplish the remedial purposes of this Order.

#### Post-Examination Firefighter Candidate Screening

35. Any elected or appointed official, manager, employee, volunteer, paid or unpaid intern, independent contractor, or other agent of the City of New York who is involved or becomes involved, formally or informally, in any of the following specific steps of the process for hiring entry-level firefighters shall immediately create a written record of all oral communications in

which they are involved, directly or through an intermediary, that concern any person who is a candidate to be hired by the City of New York as an entry-level firefighter. The duty created by this Paragraph applies to the foregoing persons who are involved in the following steps of the process for hiring entry-level firefighters, including entry-level firefighter candidates under consideration after taking Exam 2000:

- (a) background investigation of firefighter candidates;
- (b) determination to create, and preparation of a consideration report to send to the PRB;
- (c) recommendations to the PRB;
- (d) PRB consideration, discussion, or review of firefighter candidates; and
- (e) any review by the Fire Commissioner or other senior FDNY official of PRB decisions or CID's background investigation.
- 36. The written record required by Paragraph 35 shall:
  - (a) state the date and time the written record was created, and the name and title of the person who created it;
  - (b) include the date and time of the communication and any relevant information concerning the medium of the communication (e.g., in-person, telephone call, message left with assistant, etc.);
  - (c) identify the firefighter candidate(s) who is/are the subject of the communication;
  - (d) identify the participants to the communication, including the nature of each participant's relationship or source of knowledge about the firefighter candidate who is the subject of the communication and whether each participant is an elected or appointed official, manager, employee, volunteer, paid or unpaid intern,

- independent contractor, or other agent of the City of New York, and if so, their affiliation with the City of New York; and
- (e) a brief description of the substance and purpose of the communication, and a summary information provided by each participant concerning the firefighter candidate who is the subject of the communication.
- 37. The City of New York shall designate a senior official, manager, or employee of the FDNY who is employed as an attorney, to be responsible for collecting, reviewing, and retaining the written records created pursuant to the duty created in Paragraphs 35 and 36. The designated senior official shall be responsible for creating and enforcing policies and procedures necessary to implement the duty to create these written records, subject to the approval of the Court Monitor.
- 38. Before CID begins the process of investigating the background of any firefighter candidate, including any firefighter candidate under consideration after taking Exam 2000, the FDNY shall create and adopt written policies and procedures for CID's operations, subject to the approval of the Court Monitor. At a minimum, those policies and procedures shall:
  - (a) Specify the circumstances under which CID will prepare a consideration report to the PRB and when CID will send that report to the PRB;
  - (b) Specify the circumstances under which CID will conduct a deeper investigation of a firefighter candidate's background, including for example, circumstances in which a candidate has been arrested for a crime but not subsequently convicted;
  - (c) Specify additional investigative steps CID investigators must take when deeper investigation of a firefighter candidate's background is determined to be warranted.

- 39. Before the PRB meets to discuss, consider, or review any firefighter candidate, including any firefighter candidate under consideration after taking Exam 2000, the FDNY shall create and adopt written policies and procedures for the operation of the PRB, subject to the approval of the Court Monitor. At a minimum, those policies and procedures shall:
  - (a) Require PRB members who may have a conflict of interest as to any particular firefighter candidate under review by the PRB to disclose to all members of the PRB the nature of their potentially-conflicting interest;
  - (b) Regulate the participation on the PRB of members who may have a conflict of interest regarding a firefighter candidate under review, including defining which conflicts of interest require a PRB member to recuse him- or herself from the PRB's consideration of that firefighter candidate;
  - (c) Require PRB members to disclose in writing whether they received any ex parte communications or have any personal knowledge concerning a firefighter candidate under review by the PRB;
  - (d) Regulate the participation on the PRB of members who received any ex parte communications or have any personal knowledge concerning a firefighter candidate under review by the PRB;
  - (e) Require the PRB to adopt an individualized and fact-specific statement of reasons for any adverse decision the PRB makes as to any firefighter candidate it reviews.
- 40. Before CID begins the process of investigating any firefighter candidate's background, including any firefighter candidate who has taken Exam 2000, and before the PRB meets to discuss, consider, or review any firefighter candidate, including any firefighter candidate who has taken Exam 2000, each investigator and manager in CID, and each member of the PRB shall

receive interactive training tailored to educate the recipients how to practically apply applicable equal employment opportunity laws and policies in the performance of their responsibilities in CID or on the PRB, respectively. The trainings shall be provided by an independent third-party provider approved by the Court Monitor. The curriculum for each of the training sessions shall be subject to approval by the Court Monitor. The Court Monitor and senior managers of the FDNY EEO Office shall attend both the CID training and the PRB training. No investigator or manager in CID may participate in investigating a firefighter candidate's background, and no PRB member may participate in a PRB meeting regarding a firefighter candidate until the Court Monitor certifies to the court that they have satisfactorily completed this training requirement.

- 41. The Court Monitor shall attend all meetings of the PRB—in person, as a non-participating observer—that are held to consider any person who is a candidate to be hired as an entry-level firefighter off the eligible civil service list certified using the results of Exam 2000. No member of the PRB shall communicate orally or in writing with any other member of the PRB, either directly or through an intermediary, about any person who is a candidate to be hired as an entry-level firefighter off the list certified using the results of Exam 2000 outside the physical presence of the Court Monitor. The FDNY shall provide the Court Monitor with copies of all materials submitted for consideration of the PRB no fewer than 14 days before any meeting of the PRB.
- 42. Based on the Court Monitor's observations of PRB meetings, the Monitor shall file a report critiquing the performance of the PRB and the information provided to it by CID, and recommending the adoption of any policies, practices, or procedures the Monitor believes are necessary to ensure that the CID adequately informs the PRB of necessary information, and that the PRB fairly considers all firefighter candidates consistent with the requirements of all

applicable equal employment opportunity laws and policies. The Monitor's report shall preserve the anonymity of particular candidates reviewed by the PRB and, to the extent possible, preserve the confidentiality of PRB discussions by not disclosing the identities of particular speakers during PRB meetings.

- 43. The City of New York shall consider the recommendations in the Court Monitor's report on the PRB and CID, and shall file a response with the court 90 days after the Monitor's report is filed with the court. In its response, the City shall specifically indicate which of the recommendations it will carry out and how it plans to do so, providing a specific timetable for those recommendations that cannot be carried out immediately. For those recommendations the City declines to carry out, the City shall explain why it declines to carry them out, and shall identify any alternative steps it intends to take to achieve the purposes of the recommendations.
- 44. The Court Monitor shall file a reply with the court 30 days after the City of New York files its response. The Monitor's reply shall explain whether the Monitor believes the City's response to the Monitor's report is sufficient, and shall recommend to the court any additional action the Monitor believes is necessary to accomplish the remedial purposes of this Order. The United States and the Injunctive Relief Subclass may also file replies with the court no later than 30 days after the City files its response.

#### EEO Compliance Reform

- 45. The City of New York shall retain an independent EEO consultant, whose selection shall be subject to the approval of the Court Monitor, and who shall submit a final report that:
- (a) identifies all equal employment opportunity law compliance activities currently performed by all FDNY offices, bureaus, divisions, boards, or other subdivisions, including without limitation the EEO Office, ORD, BITS, CID, and the PRB;

- (b) evaluates the effectiveness of the equal employment opportunity law compliance activities currently performed by each FDNY office, bureau, division, or other subdivisions;
- (c) identifies all tasks the FDNY's EEO Office ought to be performing under the City's EEO Policy;
- (d) identifies all tasks the EEO Office should be performing to ensure the FDNY's compliance with applicable equal employment opportunity laws and policies in light of the violations of the equal employment opportunity laws identified by the court in its Disparate Impact Opinion (Docket Entry # 294), Disparate Treatment Opinion (Docket Entry # 385), and Exam 6019 Validity Opinion (Docket Entry # 505), and in light of the deficiencies in the FDNY's EEO compliance program identified in the court's Findings of Fact as to the Need for and Scope of Injunctive Relief (Docket Entry # 740);
- (e) identifies best practices used by other offices responsible for ensuring a comparable municipal department's compliance with applicable equal employment opportunity laws and policies, and particularly, best practices used by EEO offices in other fire departments nationally:
- (f) recommends a detailed compliance program to be carried out by the EEO Office including specific compliance activities, and which references specific metrics and goals to be used to evaluate the EEO Office's performance in carrying out each activity;

- (g) identifies methods of detecting, deterring, and preventing acts of retaliation and discrimination against current and future City of New York employees involved with this litigation in any way<sup>2</sup> because of their involvement with this litigation;
- (h) recommends specific actions for the EEO Office, and any other relevant agency of the City of New York, to take to deter and prevent acts of retaliation or discrimination against any current and future City of New York employees because of their involvement with this litigation;
- (i) in light of these findings and recommendations, identifies the staff and other resources needed by the EEO Office to carry out the compliance program and retaliation deterrence program recommended by the consultant, and recommends a minimum and an ideal budget for the EEO Office to successfully meet expectations under the recommended compliance program and retaliation deterrence program;
- (j) evaluates the FDNY's policies, procedures, and actual practices for disciplining employees found to be responsible for substantiated equal employment opportunity law and policy violations;
- (k) identifies procedural, organizational, cultural, logistical, resource, policy, political and other barriers to the EEO Office's ability to ensure the FDNY's compliance with applicable federal, state, and City equal employment opportunity laws and policies;

As that term is used in Paragraph 45(g) and (h) "involvement with this litigation" includes, without limitation, witnesses, declarants, and affiants who have offered oral or written testimony to the court in connection with the litigation of this case; past, present, and future members of the Vulcan Society, Inc.; individual subclass representatives; any person who may assert a claim that he or she was a victim of the City's discrimination identified in the court's Disparate Impact Opinion (Docket Entry # 294) or Disparate Treatment Opinion (Docket Entry # 385); and any person who claims to benefit from any order issued by the court in this litigation.

- (l) recommends process, organizational, and policy changes within the EEO Office to eliminate barriers to the EEO Office's ability to ensure the FDNY's compliance with applicable federal, state, and City equal employment opportunity laws and policies;
- (m) recommends process, organizational, and policy changes within the FDNY as a whole to eliminate barriers to the EEO Office's ability to ensure the FDNY's compliance with applicable federal, state, and City equal employment opportunity laws and policies; and
- (n) recommends process, organizational, and policy changes within the City of New York as a whole, including DCAS and any other relevant agency, to eliminate barriers to the EEO Office's ability to ensure the FDNY's compliance with applicable federal, state, and City equal employment opportunity laws and policies.
- 46. The independent EEO consultant, the City of New York, and the Court Monitor shall cooperatively develop a plan for the consultant's research subject to the approval of the Court Monitor. At the discretion of the Court Monitor, the United States and the Injunctive Relief Subclass may meet with the Court Monitor, the City of New York, and the consultant to recommend areas of inquiry for the consultant's research. The City shall fully cooperate with the consultant's research. The consultant shall regularly update the City and the Court Monitor on the scope and progress of the consultant's research and shall inform the Court Monitor if the consultant has any difficulties acquiring information needed to complete the consultant's assigned tasks. The Court Monitor may, in the Monitor's discretion, use the Monitor's authority to obtain access to individuals, documents, places, or things to provide information to the consultant.
- 47. The independent EEO consultant's final report shall be filed with the court and submitted to the Parties and Court Monitor no later than March 31, 2013.

- 48. The City of New York shall consider the recommendations in the independent EEO consultant's final report and file a response with the court 90 days after the consultant's final report is filed with the court. In its response the City shall specifically indicate which of the recommendations it will carry out and how it plans to do so, providing a specific timetable for those recommendations that cannot be carried out immediately. For those recommendations the City declines to carry out, the City shall explain why it declines to carry them out, and shall identify any alternative steps it intends to take to achieve the purposes of the recommendations.
- 49. The Court Monitor shall file a reply with the court 30 days after the City of New York files its response. The Monitor's reply shall explain whether the Monitor believes the City's response to the consultant's final report is sufficient, and shall recommend to the court any additional action the Monitor believes is necessary to accomplish the remedial purposes of this Order. The United States and the Injunctive Relief Subclass may also file replies with the court no later than 30 days after the City files its response.

# C. DOCUMENT RETENTION AND PRESERVATION

- The Court Monitor, in consultation with the Parties, shall prepare and file a Document Retention and Preservation Order directing the City of New York to retain, preserve, and maintain specified broad categories of documents that are relevant to evaluating the City's compliance with this Order, including documents currently in existence and any documents which will be created in the future. The Court Monitor may amend or supplement the Document Retention and Preservation Order at any time.
- 51. Following the Court Monitor's issuance of the Document Retention and Preservation Order, counsel for the City of New York shall immediately issue a Document Retention and Preservation Notice advising all individuals currently subject to the Document Retention and

Preservation Order of their continuing obligations under the order, and shall promptly issue a Document Retention and Preservation Notice to any individual who may subsequently become subject to the duties and obligations created by the order. At least every 6 months, counsel for the City of New York shall remind individuals subject to the Document Retention and Preservation Order of their continuing obligations under the Court Monitor's order. In consultation with the Court Monitor, the City of New York shall periodically audit the effectiveness of the document retention and preservation practices and procedures followed by individuals subject to the document retention and preservation duties created by the Court Monitor's order. Counsel for the City of New York shall maintain a list of all persons subject to the Document Retention and Preservation Order and promptly inform the Court Monitor whenever a Document Retention and Preservation Notice is sent to any individual who is currently subject or may become subject to the document retention and preservation duties created by the Court Monitor's order.

#### D. DISCOVERY BY PARTIES

- 52. No later than 30 days after the United States or the Injunctive Relief Subclass so requests in writing, the City shall make available to the United States and the Injunctive Relief Subclass any records maintained in accordance with Paragraph 50 and any additional documents relating to any dispute arising under this Order. Disputes between the Parties arising under this Paragraph shall be resolved by the Court Monitor.
- 53. No later than thirty 30 days after the United States or the Injunctive Relief Subclass so requests in writing, the City shall make available for interview or deposition (at the option of the United States or the Injunctive Relief Subclass) any agent, employee, or official of the City who has knowledge of information necessary to verify the City's compliance with the terms of this

Order or to resolve a dispute arising under this Order. Disputes between the Parties arising under this Paragraph shall be resolved by the Court Monitor.

#### E. SANCTIONS

54. A violation of the terms of this remedial order, including but not limited to violations of the document retention, preservation, and discovery provisions, by any party, may be punished by court-ordered sanction if another party moves for such sanction. Such sanction may include, but is not limited to, the use of contempt proceedings if the alleged violation is of sufficient gravity to warrant such proceedings.

## III. ORDER APPOINTING COURT MONITOR

- Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53(a)(1)(C) and the court's inherent equitable powers, [XXXXXXXXX] is appointed to serve as Court Monitor for post-trial compliance relief in this litigation;
- (a) Prior to being appointed, the Court Monitor must file an affidavit "disclosing whether there is any ground for disqualification under 28 U.S.C. § 455." Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(b)(3); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(a)(2) (discussing grounds for disqualification). Attached to this Order is the affidavit submitted to the court by the Court Monitor, dated [XXXXX].

# A. Duties

- 56. The duties of the Court Monitor shall include the following:
- (a) Carrying out all responsibilities and tasks specifically assigned to the Monitor in this Order;
- (b) Monitoring and reporting on the City's compliance with its obligations under this Order, and issuing any orders the Court Monitor believes will facilitate the City's timely compliance with the terms of this Order;

- (c) Facilitating the Parties' resolution of any disputes concerning compliance with their obligations under this Order or any orders issued by the Court Monitor without the court's intervention, and recommending appropriate action by the court in the event an issue cannot be resolved by the Parties with the Court Monitor's assistance; and
- (d) Proactively investigating any matters related to the Court Monitor's duties, and enforcing any orders related to the matters set forth in this Order.
- (e) The Court Monitor shall have all authority provided under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53(c).

# B. Periodic Reporting

- 57. The Court Monitor shall provide periodic reports to the court and to the Parties concerning the status of the Parties' compliance with this Order and other orders of the court or the Court Monitor, including their progress, any barriers to compliance, and potential areas of noncompliance. The Court Monitor shall file a report with the court under this provision at least once every 90 days.
- 58. The Court Monitor, in consultation with the Parties, shall require periodic reports from the City of New York on its compliance with and implementation of this Order and any other orders of the court or the Court Monitor, in a format specified by the Court Monitor, as reasonably required to enable the Court Monitor to perform the Monitor's duties under this Order.

#### C. Judicial Review

59. Except as otherwise provided in this Order, the Parties may file objections to—or a motion to adopt or modify—the Court Monitor's order, report, or recommendations no later than

10 calendar days after the order is filed on the docket. The court will review these objections under the standards set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53(f).

- 60. If any Party desires to object to any decision made by the Monitor to grant or withhold approval of any request or action in cases where the Monitor's prior approval is required, or to any decision imposing any condition before the Court Monitor will give such approval, the Party shall notify the Monitor who shall promptly file on the court's docket a written order setting forth the Monitor's decision or conditions pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53(d). The Party shall then object to the Monitor's order in the manner prescribed in this Order.
- 61. The orders, reports, and recommendations of the Court Monitor may be introduced as evidence in accordance with the Federal Rules of Evidence.
- 62. Before a Party seeks relief from the court for alleged noncompliance with any court order that is based upon the Court Monitor's report or recommendations, the Party shall: (i) promptly notify the other Parties and the Court Monitor in writing; (ii) permit the Party who is alleged to be in noncompliance five business days to provide the Court Monitor and the other parties with a written response to the notice, which either shows that the party is in compliance, or proposes a plan to cure the noncompliance; and (iii) provide the Court Monitor and parties an opportunity to resolve the issue through discussion. The Court Monitor shall attempt to resolve any such issue of noncompliance as expeditiously as possible.

# D. Recordkeeping

63. The Court Monitor shall file all written orders, reports, and recommendations on the court's docket, along with any evidence that the Court Monitor believes will assist the court in reviewing the order, report, or recommendation. The Court Monitor shall preserve any documents the Monitor receives from the Parties.

## E. Access to Information

- 64. The Court Monitor shall have access, on short notice, to individuals, information, documents, materials, programs, services, facilities and premises under the control of the City of New York that the Monitor requires to perform his or her duties under this Order.
- The Court Monitor may compel the City of New York to make available, on short notice, any elected or appointed officials, managers, employees, volunteers, paid or unpaid interns, independent contractors, or other agents of the City of New York, any person or entity over which any of the foregoing exercise direct or indirect control because of their affiliation with the City of New York, or any person or entity acting in concert or participation with any of the foregoing, to be interviewed or deposed at the discretion of the Court Monitor.
- 66. The Court Monitor may communicate with a Party or a Party's counsel or staff on an ex parte basis if reasonably necessary to carry out the Monitor's duties under this Order. The Court Monitor may communicate with the court on an ex parte basis concerning non-substantive matters such as scheduling or the status of the Monitor's work. The Court Monitor may communicate with the court on an ex parte basis concerning substantive matters with 24 hours written notice to the Parties.
- 67. The Court Monitor shall document all ex parte oral communications with a Party or a Party's counsel or staff in a written memorandum to file summarizing the substance of the communication, the participants to the communication, the date and time of the communication, and the purpose of the ex parte communication. At the time the Court Monitor submits his or her periodic reports to the court, the Monitor shall separately list his or her ex parte communications with the Parties in a letter to the court that shall not be filed on the court's docket or disclosed to the parties unless the court specifically directs it to be filed.

# F. Engagement of Staff and Consultants

68. The Court Monitor may, consistent with a budget to be approved by the court, hire staff or expert consultants to assist the Monitor in performing his or her duties. The Court Monitor will provide the parties advance written notice of his or her intention to hire a particular consultant, and such notice will include a resume and a description of duties of the consultant.

# G. Budget, Compensation, and Expenses

- 69. The City of New York shall fund the Court Monitor's work pursuant to a budget proposed by the Court Monitor and approved by the court. The Court Monitor shall incur only such fees and expenses as may be reasonably necessary to fulfill the Monitor's duties under this Order, or such other orders as the court may issue.
- 70. Every 60 days, the Court Monitor shall submit to the court an itemized statement of fees and expenses, which the court will inspect for regularity and reasonableness. If the court determines the itemized statement is regular and reasonable, the court will sign it and transmit it to the parties. The City shall then remit to the Court Monitor any court-approved amount, within 20 calendar days of court approval.

#### H. Other Provisions

71. As an agent and officer of the court, the Court Monitor and those working at his or her direction shall enjoy the same protections from being compelled to give testimony and from liability for damages as those enjoyed by other federal judicial adjuncts performing similar functions. Nevertheless, any Party or non-party may request that the court direct the Court Monitor to disclose documents or other information reasonably necessary to an investigation or the litigation of legal claims in another judicial forum that are reasonably related to the Court Monitor's work under this Order. This may include, for example, an investigation by the EEPC

into the FDNY's EEO policies and practices. The court shall not order the Court Monitor to disclose any information without providing the Parties notice and an opportunity to be heard.

- 72. As required by Rule 53(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court directs the Court Monitor to proceed with all reasonable diligence.
- 73. The Court Monitor shall be discharged or replaced only upon an order of this court.
- 74. The parties, their successors in office, agents, and employees will observe faithfully the requirements of this Order and cooperate fully with the Court Monitor, and any staff or expert consultant employed by the Court Monitor, in the performance of their duties.

# IV. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 75. The Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce and modify this Order until such time as the court finds that the City of New York has established, by a preponderance of the evidence, that:
- (a) the City does not currently use, and will not use in the future, any examination that in any way results in a disparate impact upon black or Hispanic applicants and is neither job related for the position of entry-level firefighter and nor required by business necessity, or does not otherwise meet the requirements of Title VII as part of any entry-level firefighter selection process;
- (b) the City currently complies with, and will in the future continue to comply with, all applicable provisions of federal, state, and City equal employment opportunity laws in the use of any process to hire entry-level firefighters in the absence of court supervision;
- that are—in practice and actual effect—sufficient to ensure that the City complies with all provisions of federal, state, and City equal employment opportunity laws and policies applicable to any process or step in a process used by the City to hire entry-level firefighters;

- (d) the City currently provides, and in the future will continue to provide, financial resources and other support to its agencies, officials, and other institutions responsible for ensuring its compliance with federal, state, and City equal employment opportunity laws and policies, that are—in practice and actual effect—sufficient to ensure that the City complies with applicable equal employment opportunity laws and policies with respect to any process or step in a process used by the City to hire entry-level firefighters;
- (e) the City has removed all barriers that perpetuate the effects of its intentional discrimination against black firefighter candidates; and
- (f) the City has eliminated all vestiges of its intentional discrimination against black firefighter candidates.
- 76. Under no circumstances will the court's jurisdiction to modify or enforce this Order lapse before the later of:
  - (a) January 1, 2022; or
- (b) the expiration date of the second of the City's next two civil service hiring lists for the position of entry-level firefighter that are certified after the issuance of this Order.
- 77. On or after the occurrence of the last event specified in Paragraph 76, the City of New York may request that the court set a bench trial at which the City will be permitted to introduce evidence to satisfy its burdens of proof and persuasion under Paragraph 75.

## V. COSTS AND FEES

78. Except as otherwise set forth in this Order, the City shall bear all costs incurred by the City, the United States, and the Injunctive Relief Subclass in the prosecution and implementation of the remedy phase of this case, including reasonably-incurred attorneys' fees and expenses,

Case 1:07-cv-02067-NGG-RLM Document 743-1 Filed 10/05/11 Page 31 of 31 PageID #:

expert fees and the cost of all notification procedures, except that the United States shall bear its

own attorneys' and expert fees and expenses.

79. Upon filing by the United States of a bill of costs verified pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1924,

the court will tax against the City all costs allowed by 28 U.S.C. § 1920 incurred by the United

States, as a prevailing party in this litigation.

80. The Injunctive Relief Subclass being prevailing parties with respect to the disparate

impact and disparate treatment claims that were the subject of this court's Disparate Impact and

Disparate Treatment Opinions, the City shall make an interim payment to the Injunctive Relief

Subclass of attorneys' fees, costs and expenses they reasonably incurred in prosecuting those

claims, at a time and in an amount to be agreed upon by the City and Injunctive Relief Subclass

provided that if agreement is not reached within 30 days of the entry of this Order, the Injunctive

Relief Subclass will apply to the Court for a determination of the amount of attorneys' fees, costs

and expenses to be awarded.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

October , 2011

DRAFT

NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS

United States District Judge

30